BEXAR COUNTY

Judicial Services

BEXAR COUNTY JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT REPORT

CRIMINAL COUNTY COURTS
4th QUARTER REPORT FY 2018-19
(July, 2019 - September, 2019)

JUDICIAL SYSTEM WORKLOAD
AND EFFICIENCY MEASURES

Brief Summary:
This report reflects County Court workload and efficiency measures for FY 2018-19 between the months of July through September (Quarter 4). The report only includes criminal county courts in the Bexar County judicial system:

Between the months of July 2019 and September 2019, the following judges were in office:

County Court 1: Judge Helen P. Stowe*
County Court 2: Judge Grace Uzomba*
County Court 4: Judge Alfredo Ximenez*
County Court 5: Judge John A. Longoria
County Court 6: Judge Wayne Christian
County Court 7: Judge Michael De Leon*
County Court 8: Judge Mary Roman*
County Court 9: Judge Gloria Saldana*
County Court 11: Judge Tommy Stolhandske
County Court 12: Judge Yolanda Huff*
County Court 13: Judge Rosie Gonzalez*
County Court 14: Judge Carlo Key*
County Court 15: Judge Melissa Vara*

*Judges assumed office on Jan 1, 2019.

This report includes the following six measures and shows how the individual courts performed relative to each other and the court-wide average.

Measure 1: Cost per Disposition
Measure 2: Jail Bed Days
Measure 3: Clearance Rate
Measure 4: Disposition Rate
Measure 5: Time to Disposition
Measure 6: Age of Active Cases Pending

Measure 1: Cost per Disposition

Definition: The net cost of disposing of a single case.

Analysis and Interpretation: The following graph and table show a court-by-court comparison of Cost per Disposition and Cost per Court Appointment for Indigent Defense based on 4th Quarter FY 2018-19 data. Courts are listed in order from lowest to highest net cost per disposition. Indigent defense is included in the net cost per disposition. Of the total expenses for the court system, **33.5** percent are indigent defense costs. The second graph represents the average net cost (revenue collected versus cost) per court appointed attorney assignment. The final graph shows the average cost per disposition for the County Court over the past eight quarters.

Differences in the net cost per disposition are mostly explained by the differences in the revenue collection and in the number of dispositions that generate fees. For example, the defendant in case dismissal is not accessed fees. *Certain types of dismissals (such as, Dismissed – Defendant Deceased, Dismissed – Reduced to Class C, Dismissed and Reduced) are not included in the number of dispositions.*



Note: Judges De Leon and Gonzalez handle mostly family violence cases.

4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Cost per Disposition

Court Number	Judge	Operating Expenses	Ct. Appointed Atty. Costs	Total Expenses	Indigent Defense Revenues	Court Fine Revenues	Court Costs Revenues	Total County Revenues	Net Cost/ (Savings)	Number of Dispositions	Net Cost (Savings) per Disposition
CC1	Stowe	$ 123,842	$ 65,578	$ 189,420	$ -	$ 18,961	$ 124	$ 19,085	$ 170,335	513	$332.04
CC2	Uzomba	$ 131,958	$ 58,495	$ 190,453	$ -	$ 14,155	$ 115	$ 14,270	$ 176,183	502	$350.96
CC4	Ximenez	$ 121,292	$ 62,120	$ 183,412	$ -	$ 12,620	$ -	$ 12,620	$ 170,792	571	$299.11
CC5	Longoria	$ 137,562	$ 72,870	$ 210,432	$ 100	$ 16,929	$ 684	$ 17,713	$ 192,719	585	$329.43
CC6	Christian	$ 138,973	$ 71,865	$ 210,838	$ 3,063	$ 15,716	$ -	$ 18,779	$ 192,059	553	$347.30
CC7	DeLeon	$ 122,746	$ 89,488	$ 212,234	$ -	$ 302	$ 125	$ 427	$ 211,807	637	$332.51
CC8	Roman	$ 129,461	$ 69,075	$ 198,536	$ 126	$ 10,969	$ 576	$ 11,670	$ 186,865	568	$328.99
CC9	Saldana	$ 130,545	$ 63,900	$ 194,445	$ -	$ 14,892	$ 37	$ 14,929	$ 179,516	523	$343.24
CC11	Stolhandske	$ 133,344	$ 60,880	$ 194,224	$ -	$ 10,601	$ -	$ 10,601	$ 183,623	579	$317.14
CC12	Huff	$ 130,339	$ 39,261	$ 169,600	$ -	$ 10,802	$ 235	$ 11,037	$ 158,563	462	$343.21
CC13	Gonzalez	$ 129,398	$ 70,610	$ 200,008	$ -	$ 2,614	$ 124	$ 2,738	$ 197,270	447	$441.32
CC14	Key	$ 130,912	$ 71,380	$ 202,292	$ -	$ 11,916	$ -	$ 11,916	$ 190,376	495	$384.60
CC15	Vara	$ 127,652	$ 52,940	$ 180,592	$ -	$ 11,364	$ 12	$ 11,376	$ 169,215	534	$316.88
	Admin*	$ 285,911			N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total:	**$1,688,023**	**$848,462**	**$2,536,485**	**$3,289**	**$151,842**	**$2,031**	**$157,162**	**$2,379,324**	**6969**	**$ 341.42**

*Cost of Administration prorated equally across all trial courts


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Average Indigent Defense Cost by Court
Average Cost per Appointment = $169
Costs
$250
$200
$150
$100
$50
$0
$103
$139
$146
$162
$169
$173
$173
$177
$189
$190
$190
$197
$201
Huff
Vara
Gonzalez
Uzomba
Saldana
Ximenez
DeLeon
Stolhandske
Roman
Stowe
Longoria
Christian
Key
Court


Cost per Disposition by Quarter
Cost
$370
$320
$270
$220
$170
$120
$70
$20
-$30
$140
$69
$71
$286
$78
$208
$206
$98
$109
$269
$79
$190
$257
$87
$170
$237
$78
$159
$288
$102
$186
$341
$121
$220
FY 2018
FY 2019
1st Quarter
2nd Quarter
3rd Quarter
4th Quarter
Operating Costs less Revenue
Crt Appointment Costs less Revenue

Measure 2: Jail Bed Days

Definition: The number of jail bed days consumed.

Analysis and Interpretation: The first chart below shows a court-by-court comparison of Jail Bed Days for 4th Quarter of FY 2018-19 assigned to the County Courts from least jail bed days to the greatest jail bed days. The second chart displays the total number of jail bed days consumed court wide for each of the last eight quarters. The third chart shows the average length of stay for the custodies by County Court for the 4th Quarter of FY 2018-19. The final chart displays the average length of stay for the past eight quarters for the entire court.





The Jail Track report is not generated on Saturdays and Sundays. Hence, it does not include the totals for the weekends of July, August and September.

The average length of stay only measures the time spent for the highest charge for a defendant in that court.





Measure 3: Clearance Rates

Definition: The number of disposed cases as a percentage of the number of incoming cases.

Analysis and Interpretation: The clearance rate is a measure of incoming cases a court receives compared to cases disposed monthly. A clearance rate of 100% represents a court that is disposing of the same number of incoming cases. A clearance rate above 100% represents a court that is disposing of more incoming cases than it is receiving. A clearance rate below 100% represents a court that is disposing of fewer incoming cases than it is receiving. This measure can be used to determine whether or not a backlog August occur. *Note: Due to new reporting requirements by the Office of Court Administration, certain types of dismissals (such as, Dismissed – Defendant Deceased, Dismissed – Reduced to Class C, Dismissed and Reduced) are not included in the number of dispositions.*

Several graphs are displayed below.

1. The first graph shows the total incoming cases for the quarter by Court, which indicates the incoming workload for the quarter.
2. The second graph displays the court-wide total incoming cases for the past eight quarters.
3. The third graph displays total cases that were disposed by each court during the quarter, which indicate the amount of work that was produced for the quarter.
4. The fourth chart shows the court-wide total dispositions for the past eight quarters
5. The fifth chart shows the clearance rate by court from the highest to the lowest.
6. The sixth chart displays the court-wide average clearance rate for the past eight quarters.
7. The final set of graphs display by court the Clearance Rates over the past twelve months. The Court with the highest clearance rate is displayed first.




Total Incoming Cases by Quarter
Cases
10,000
9,000
8,000
7,000
6,000
5,000
4,000
3,000
2,000
8,080
7,987
8,245
7,747
8,544
7,810
8,734
6,863
FY 2018
FY 2019
1st Quarter
2nd Quarter
3rd Quarter
4th Quarter


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Total Dispositions by Court
Average Total Dispositions = 536
# of Dispositions
1000
900
800
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
637
585
579
571
568
553
534
523
513
502
495
462
447
DeLeon
Longoria
Stolhandske
Ximenez
Roman
Christian
Vara
Saldana
Stowe
Uzomba
Key
Huff
Gonzalez
Courts


Total Dispositions By Quarter
10,000
9,000
8,000
7,000
6,000
5,000
4,000
3,000
2,000
6,822
6,685
7,399
8,005
7,906
9,099
7,726
6,969
FY 2018
FY 2019
1st Quarter
2nd Quarter
3rd Quarter
4th Quarter


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Clearance Rate by Court
Average Clearance Rate = 101.5%
Percentage
140.0%
120.0%
100.0%
80.0%
60.0%
40.0%
20.0%
0.0%
120.0%
113.2%
112.4%
108.1%
106.4%
104.3%
104.2%
103.4%
103.3%
102.5%
97.4%
95.9%
64.2%
Ximenez
Longoria
Stolhandske
Saldana
Uzomba
DeLeon
Key
Stowe
Roman
Vara
Christian
Huff
Gonzalez
Court


Average Clearance Rate by Quarter
140.0%
120.0%
100.0%
80.0%
60.0%
40.0%
20.0%
0.0%
84.4%
83.7%
89.7%
103.3%
92.5%
116.5%
88.5%
101.5%
FY 2018
FY 2019
1st Quarter
2nd Quarter
3rd Quarter
4th Quarter


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 104%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Ximenez
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 120%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
107%
62%
67%
89%
112%
100%
99%
103%
111%
123%
119%
118%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 130%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Longoria
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 113%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
111%
74%
83%
82%
122%
90%
132%
130%
130%
156%
117%
80%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 126%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Stolhandske
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 112%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
111%
77%
75%
79%
91%
103%
94%
178%
107%
131%
110%
92%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 116%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Saldana
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 108%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
95%
100%
44%
87%
138%
148%
98%
175%
90%
132%
96%
96%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 120%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Uzomba
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 106%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
91%
96%
61%
67%
81%
71%
74%
193%
110%
120%
116%
86%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 95%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge De Leon
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 104%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
184%
235%
77%
99%
84%
69%
106%
83%
98%
104%
108%
100%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 129%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Key
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 104%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
81%
84%
38%
124%
108%
96%
99%
150%
132%
100%
128%
86%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 127%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Stowe
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 103%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
121%
103%
75%
105%
119%
148%
107%
140%
134%
105%
123%
88%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 135%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Roman
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 103%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
156%
79%
47%
93%
192%
141%
122%
148%
138%
106%
118%
78%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 111%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Vara
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 102%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
84%
77%
50%
67%
129%
92%
98%
159%
87%
110%
122%
77%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 106%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Christian
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 97%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
131%
74%
74%
106%
101%
92%
97%
112%
108%
125%
75%
100%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 111%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Huff
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 96%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
101%
73%
69%
95%
110%
93%
101%
116%
114%
119%
80%
94%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month


Last Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 110%
12 Month Clearance Rate
Judge Gonzalez
4th Qtr. Average
Clearance Rate 64%
Monthly Rate
Goal
Rate
300%
250%
200%
150%
100%
50%
0%
73%
49%
54%
79%
196%
154%
131%
119%
82%
60%
65%
68%
Oct
Nov
Dec
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Apr
May
Jun
Month

Measure 4: Disposition Rate

Definition: The number of disposed cases as a percentage of the Active Caseload.

Analysis and Interpretation: The disposition rate is a measure of cases disposed during the quarter compared to the average active caseload during the same quarter. This calculation includes the disposition of cases on the existing docket in addition to the other matters addressed by the Court. The first chart displays the number of active cases by court from the smallest to the largest. The second chart shows the court-wide docket size at the end of each of the last eight quarters. The third chart shows the disposition rate by court, from the highest to lowest. The final chart displays the court-wide average disposition rate for the past eight quarters.






4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Disposition Rate by Court
Average Disposition Rate = 19.3%
Percent
30%
25%
20%
15%
10%
5%
0%
28.1%
27.3%
26.7%
22.8%
20.6%
19.2%
18.0%
17.7%
16.9%
15.9%
13.4%
12.9%
11.2%
Christian
Stolhandske
Roman
Key
Stowe
Ximenez
Saldana
Vara
Uzomba
Longoria
DeLeon
Huff
Gonzalez
Courts


Average Disposition Rate by Quarter
25.0%
20.0%
15.0%
10.0%
5.0%
0.0%
18.1%
15.8%
18.5%
17.7%
19.0%
22.1%
18.4%
19.3%
FY 2018
FY 2019
FY 2018
FY 2019
FY 2018
FY 2019
FY 2018
FY 2019
1st Quarter
2nd Quarter
3rd Quarter
4th Quarter

Measure 5: Time to Disposition

Definition: The percentage of cases disposed or otherwise resolved within established time frames. This is a comparison of data from *age of disposed cases* and only considers cases that are disposed, not the full docket.

Analysis and Interpretation: For each case, the report calculates the time, in days, from filing of the case until the date the case was disposed. The case processing time standards published by the American Bar Association (ABA) and those published by the Conference of State Court Administrators (COSCA) are utilized. The following charts display for each court the time periods required to dispose of their cases. The courts with the greatest number of dispositions are shown first.

Note: Although the time to disposition is measured only using active cases that have been disposed, the case time that elapsed when the defendant was a fugitive or when the defendant had an accompanying felony cases to be adjudicated is included in this measure.

COSCA Case Processing Standards – Criminal Misdemeanor

COSCA Case Processing Standards	Criminal County Courts
100% within 90 Days	44% within 90 Days

ABA Case Processing Standards – Criminal Misdemeanor

ABA Case Processing Standards	Criminal County Courts
90 % within 30 Days	15% within 30 Days
100% within 90 Days	44% within 90 Days

NCSC Model Case Processing Standards – Criminal Misdemeanor

NCSC Case Processing Standards	Criminal County Courts
75% within 60 Days	35% within 60 Days
90% within 90 Days	44% within 90 Days
98% within 180 Days	60% within 180 Days

Source: National Center for State Courts Web site, www.ncsconline.org/WC/Publications/KIS_CasManCPTSPub.pdf.


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge De Leon
Total Cases
Disposed = 637
% of Cases Disposed
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
15%
75%
23%
90%
43%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Longoria
Total Cases
Disposed = 585
% of Cases Disposed
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
24%
75%
33%
90%
49%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Stolhandske
Total Cases
Disposed = 579
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
29%
75%
35%
90%
54%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Ximenez
Total Cases
Disposed = 571
% of Cases Disposed
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
38%
75%
44%
90%
61%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Roman
Total Cases
Disposed = 568
% of Cases Disposed
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
21%
75%
28%
90%
51%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Christian
Total Cases
Disposed = 553
% of Cases Disposed
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
48%
75%
57%
90%
73%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Vara
Total Cases
Disposed = 534
% of Cases Disposed
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
31%
75%
40%
90%
55%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Saldana
Total Cases
Disposed = 523
% of Cases Disposed
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
21%
75%
28%
90%
49%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Stowe
Total Cases
Disposed = 513
% of Cases Disposed
120.00%
100.00%
80.00%
60.00%
40.00%
20.00%
0.00%
25%
75%
35%
90%
59%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Uzomba
Total Cases
Disposed = 502
% of Cases Disposed
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
19%
75%
28%
90%
46%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Key
Total Cases
Disposed = 495
% of Cases Disposed
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
27%
75%
35%
90%
56%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Huff
Total Cases
Disposed = 462
% of Cases Disposed
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
21%
75%
30%
90%
52%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard


4th Qtr. FY 2018-19
Time to Disposition
Judge Gonzalez
Total Cases
Disposed = 447
% of Cases Disposed
120%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
24%
75%
32%
90%
43%
98%
0-60
0-90
0-180
Actual
NCSC Standard

Measure 6: Age of Active Cases Pending Cases

Definition: The age of active cases pending before the court is measured as the number of days from filing until the time of measurement.

Analysis and Interpretation: This measure illustrates how a court's time to disposition compares to ABA standards. The first chart displays the percent of active cases that are over 90 days old for each of the courts. The second charts show the court-wide average over 90 days for the past four quarters. *Note: Fugitives are not included in the data.*





BEXAR COUNTY JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT REPORT
APPENDIX A
Explanation and Method of Collection for Different Measures

Measure 1: Cost per Disposition

Definition: The net cost of disposing of a single case.

Method: Cost per disposition is the net cost of the court divided by the number of dispositions. Net cost per disposition includes revenue collected and costs between July 2019 and September 2019 from each court. This measure allows the court to compare average cost per case to other courts. Other personnel associated with the cost of disposing of a case are budgeted within other respective County departments, such as the District Attorney's Office, Bexar County Sheriff's Office, and the County Clerk's Office and are not included in the calculation for net Court cost per disposition.

Measure 2: Jail Bed Days

Definition: The number of jail bed days consumed.

Method: This information is retrieved from the Jail Track Management System and counts the total number of jail bed days used by court.

The average length of stay for inmates is calculated by totaling the number of jail bed days consumed from booking to release and dividing by the number of inmates incarcerated. It only measures the time spent on the highest level of charge by a defendant in a particular court.

Measure 3: Clearance Rates

Definition: The number of disposed cases as a percentage of the number of incoming cases.

Method: Clearance rates are measured using two variables, incoming cases and the number of cases disposed monthly. Incoming cases include new cases filed during the month, cases appealed from lower courts, and other cases reaching docket (motions to revoke probation/deferred adjudication, cases reactivated, and all other cases). The number of outgoing cases includes all monthly dispositions.
Due to new reporting requirements by the Office of Court Administration, certain types of dismissals (such as, Dismissed – Defendant Deceased, Dismissed – Reduced to Class C, Dismissed and Reduced) are not included in the number of dispositions.

Measure 4: Disposition Rate

Definition: The number of disposed cases as a percentage of the Active Caseload.

Method: Disposition rates are measured using two variables, active caseload and the number of cases disposed. The active caseload includes any cases assigned to the Court, but excludes those cases where the defendant has been declared a fugitive. The number of disposed cases includes all cases adjudicated less certain dismissals not allowed by OCA directive. **Due to new reporting requirements by the Office of Court Administration, the disposition rate is now a percentage of the active docket and not of the entire docket as previously reported.*

Measure 5: Time to Disposition

Definition: The percentage of cases disposed or otherwise resolved within established time frames. This is a comparison of data from *age of disposed cases* and only considers cases that are disposed, not the full docket.

Method: For each case, the report calculates the time in days from filing of the case until the date the case was disposed. The case processing time standards published by the American Bar Association (ABA), the Conference of State Court Administrators (COSCA) and the National Center for State Courts were used when establishing the benchmarks.

COSCA Case Processing Standards -Criminal

• Misdemeanor – 100% within 90 days

ABA Case Processing Standards-Criminal

• Misdemeanor
• 90% within 30 days
•100% within 90 days

NCSC Model Case Processing Standards – Criminal

• Misdemeanor
• 75% within 60 days
• 90% within 90 days
• 98% within 180 days

Source: National Center for State Courts Web site,
www.ncsconline.org/WC/Publications/KIS_CasManCPTSPub.pdf.

Source: National Center for State Courts Web site,
www.ncsconline.org/WC/Publications/KIS_CasManCPTSPub.pdf.

Measure 6: Age of Active Cases Pending Cases

Definition: The age of active cases pending before the court is measured as the number of days from filing until the time of measurement.

Method: For each case type being analyzed, the report calculates the time, in days, from filing of the case until the date established for the reporting period being examined (Oct 1, 2019 for 4th Quarter).

BEXAR COUNTY JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT REPORT
APPENDIX B
Source Documents for Different Measures

Sources:

Measure(s)	Data Source	Date & Time of Source
Cost per Disposition	Bexar County Court Collection System Report: Misdemeanor	July 2019- September 2019
Cost per Disposition; **Clearance Rate;** **Disposition Rate;** **Time to Disposition;**	Bexar County Criminal Justice Information System: County Court Criminal Section Summary Report KJJ3161M July 2019 KJJ3161M August 2019 KJJ3161M September 2019	 08/10/19, 01:51:00 09/14/19, 01:37:00 10/12/19, 02:18:00
Cost per Disposition	Lawson Financial System GL298 Commitment Analysis Report	Fiscal Year 2019 Period 10-12
Jail Bed Days	Bexar County Criminal Justice Information System: Jail Track Report	07/01/19 - 09/30/19
ALOS	Bexar County Criminal Justice Information System: Release Table	07/01/19 - 09/30/19
Age of Active Cases	Bexar County Criminal Justice Information System: Misdemeanors Pending	10/01/19